

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Chapter 1: The Logic of Opacity</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Defining Opacity	5
1.2.1. Rule-Based opacity	6
1.2.2. From rules to constraints	14
1.2.3. Optimality Theory	18
1.2.3.1. The Unification of Phonotactics and Morphophonology	19
1.2.3.2. Correspondence Theory	21
1.2.4. Where constraints fail	22
1.2.4.1. Over-application in OT	23
1.2.4.2. Under-application in OT	26
1.2.4.3 Tone shifts as over-application	29
1.2.4.4 Interim Summary	32
1.2.5. Redefining opacity	33
1.3. Opacity as Input-Output Mapping	39
1.3.1. Alpha rules	39
1.3.2 Autosegments	41
1.3.3. Input-Output Mappings	42
1.4. Typology of Harmony Interactions	44
1.4.1. Cross-linguistic typology	45
1.4.2 Gaps in the typology	47
1.5. Opacity and Domains of Application	50
1.5.1. Lexical Phonology	50
1.5.2. Lexical opacity	52
1.5.3. Post-lexical opacity	55
1.6 Conclusion	58
<b>Chapter 2: Diagonal Correspondence Theory</b>	<b>60</b>
2.1 Introduction	60
2.2. Theoretical Precursors to Diagonal Correspondence	62
2.3.1. The full model of reduplication	65
2.3.2 Diagonal Correspondence as an Two-Level Constraint	69

2.3 Harmony as Correspondence	74
2.2.2. Precursors to vowel harmony as correspondence	77
2.2.3. Vowel Harmony as Agreement by Correspondence	80
2.3 Formalization of Diagonal Correspondence	82
2.4 DC and Tone Shifting	84
2.5. DC and Harmony	86
2.5.1 Yowlumne Yokuts	87
2.5.2. Mafa	93
2.6. Further Implications of Diagonal Correspondence	95
2.7. Conclusion	98
<b>Chapter 3: Case Study on Shimakonde</b>	<b>100</b>
3.1 Background	100
3.2 Vowel Reduction	101
3.2.1. Stress	101
3.2.2. Vowel system	102
3.2.3. The process of reduction	103
3.2.4 Reduction as complete neutralization	104
3.2.5 Variability and CORE	105
3.2.6 Reduction as post-lexical	106
3.2.7 Reduction: Positional Neutralization or Positional Licensing?	107
3.3. Harmony	109
3.4 Vowel Coalescence	112
3.5 Opacity	113
3.5.1. VHH and Reduction: over-application	114
3.5.2 Reduction and Coalescence	115
3.5.3 Coalescence and VHH	117
3.5.4 Interim Summary	119
3.5.6 The Inadequacy of Surface-based Approaches	120
3.6 Shimakonde Opacity as DC	124
3.6.1 Blocking with Agreement By Correspondence	124
3.6.2. Bantu harmony via correspondence theory	128
3.6.3. DC Harmony	129
3.6.4. Reduction	131
3.6.5. Harmony & Reduction Opacity	134

3.6.6. Coalescence & Harmony	136
3.6.7. Coalescence and Reduction	137
3.7. Alternate Approaches	138
3.7.1. Morpheme selection	138
3.7.2. Strata	140
3.7.2 Element-based approach	141
3.8. Conclusion	146
<b>Chapter 4: Opacity and Abstraction</b>	<b>149</b>
4.1 Introduction	149
4.2 Abstract Underlying forms	152
4.2.1. How Abstract are Underlying Forms?	155
4.2.2 Simple Alternations	157
4.3. Opaque alternations	160
4.3.1 Under-application	160
4.3.2. Over-application	162
4.3.3. Opacity and Abstract Representations	164
4.3.4. Abstraction Revisited	167
4.4. Acquiring Opacity	168
4.4.1 Underlying Forms	168
4.4.2 Productivity of opaque generalizations	169
4.5 Previous studies	171
4.5.1 Bedouin Hijazi Arabic	171
4.5.2 Canadian French	173
4.5.3 Polish	176
4.5.4 Hebrew and Underlying Forms	179
4.5.5 Conclusion	180
<b>Chapter 5: Testing Productivity Of Opaque Interactions</b>	<b>182</b>
5.1 Present Study	182
5.1.1 The Artificial Grammar Learning Paradigm	183
5.2. Experiment One	186
5.2.1 Method	187
5.2.1.1. Participants	187
5. 2.1.2 Stimulus	187
5.2.1.3. Procedure	190
5.2.1.4 Experimental Manipulation	191

5.2.1.5 Tests	196
5.2.2 Results	199
5.2.2.1 Test one	199
5.2.2.2 Test two	203
5.2.2.3 Between-tests findings	204
5.2.3 Discussion	205
5.3. Experiment two	208
5.3.1 Method	211
5.3.1.1. Participants	212
5.3.1.2 Stimulus	212
5.3.1.3. Procedure	212
5.3.1.4 Experimental Manipulation	212
5.3.1.5 Tests	217
5.3.2 Results	218
5.3.2.1 Test one	218
5.3.2.2 Test two	221
5.3.3 Discussion	223
5.4 Conclusion	225

## **Acknowledgements**

I've written this section of my dissertation many times over in my head when I could no longer concentrate on my work, when I would lie awake at night wondering if I would ever finish, or when I would stare out the window of the 51 bus on my way back to my home in Oakland after a long day in Dwinelle. Every iteration was drastically different than the previous and it has probably undergone more revisions than any other part of this dissertation in the word processor of my mind. That is because there are countless people that have helped me in some way, supported me, or otherwise deserve acknowledgement. Alas, just like picking ones high school yearbook quote based on whatever was rocking out on the stereo one particular night, I know that whomever I remember and whatever I write at this moment will leave something to be desired when I look back in a few years and do the equivalent of wonder why I picked a quote from White Snake.

That being said, I would like to primarily acknowledge all of my selfless teachers over the years, in particular Peter Stark and Eileen Samuels from SSDS and Vin Bronson from NSHS. Looking back at the circuitous route I took to get where I am, I can't help but wonder whether the poster Peter had in our 8<sup>th</sup> grade tannakh room on the evolution of writing systems wasn't some sort of harbinger of where I'd eventually end up. It was Peter and Mrs. Samuels that first taught me what it meant to learn and it was Mr. Bronson that taught me how to love learning. Mr. Bronson also first taught us what it meant to have a philosophy of science and suggested that a scientist's job may be not to maximize beauty, but simply to minimize ugliness. I think about that quote often.

Shifting to the present, I would like to thank the members of my dissertation committee, my current teachers. When I selected the committee members – Keith Johnson, Larry Hyman, Sharon Inkelas and Carla Hudson Kam – I felt like I was getting the linguistic equivalent of the 1992 Olympic Dream Team. Each member contributed something unique and invaluable to my development here at Berkeley, as well as to this thesis. I can think of no better combination of personalities, knowledge, temperament and skills than these four. Larry was like a family member to me and guided me through my years as a graduate student as much with emotional support as with intellectual support. It is also because of Larry and the grammar of Mafa he handed me one fateful day that I am a phonologist. I know that Larry's office door will always be open to me whenever I want to schmooze about the *sine qua non* of linguistics: language data. I have the utmost respect for Sharon for her ability to understand the essence of a problem and recognize the value in anyone's work. Brief comments she would make would turn out to be extremely profound insights that I would only understand after months of puzzling over a problem. Her attention to detail is something I aspire to and her obvious concern for the happiness of us graduate students has made her a wonderful chair. Thanks to Carla, I feel as though I'm leaving graduate school not only with an ability to think like a linguist, but also as a cognitive psychologist. I realize my psycholinguistification is an ongoing process and I hope I'm showing at least a little bit of progress because I hope to make Carla proud. I appreciate the fact that whenever I would come into Carla's office, she treated me as an equal and respected what I had to say, and could deliver exceedingly insightful advice without the slightest hint of judgment or condescension. And so for that, thank you. Finally, I would like to thank Keith. Before he came to Berkeley, I was in a bit

of a cloud of confusion as to what I wanted to do (some may say I still am). When he arrived, he was a beacon of sorts, providing inspiration through his example. I feel as though he took me under his intellectual wing and showed me how take these amorphous interests of mine and turn them into a final product. I hope I may someday learn from the modest example he set, his understated demeanor serving to highlight his tremendous scientific acumen.

To all my committee members, thank you for your patience over the past  $n$  years.

As for other professors at Berkeley, I would like to thank George Lakoff, the reason I came to Berkeley in the first place, John Ohala, the person that showed me you could be an academic and an entertainer at the same time, Andrew Garrett, whose class is the single best pedagogic experience I've had at Berkeley and whom I suspect had something to do with me being able to procure funding for my first year, and Dan Slobin, the person that shared with me the many ways to study linguistics at Berkeley outside of the linguistics department.

Life at Berkeley would have been extremely dull, gray and sad without the support of my fellow students, and so I would like to thank my cohort, Ann, Nick, Gaby, Karen, Mischa and Christian, the members of the Phon Lab past and present, especially Sam, Charles, Grant, Reiko, Shira, Molly, Ron(ald) and Ryan, and uncategorizable friends like Elisabeth, Jeff (the other reason I came to Berkeley), Bill, Laura, Olya, Rosemary, Jason and Alan, and anyone else who I shared a drink with over the years. This is starting to sound like the intro to Romper Room, and so I will leave it at that, but know that if we even exchanged eye-brow raises in the hallways of Dwinelle, I'm glad you were around.

Other linguists who have contributed to this work in some way include Ricardo Bermudez-Otero, Jennifer Cole, Yvonne Rose, Nathan Sanders, Susie Levi, Laura Downing, Meghan Sumner, Eric Bakovic, Ruth Berman, Marcelino Liphola, Sophie Manus, Russell Rhodes, Matt Goldrick, Kie Zuraw, the audiences of CLS 40 and 43, NELS 38 and countless others.

Finally, I want to thank my families. First, my friends Jason, Josh and Ryan whom I consider family and who helped me stay happy over the years, both before and during grad school. Second, my parents and brother who encouraged me to go on this journey in the first place without reservation, gave me unconditional love and support, worried about my health and well being and called me over and over even though it'd take me days to call back. And third, my new family, the one I didn't have when I started school, but now fills my life with such true joy. Sascha, you are the sweetest little creature I've ever met, and you have brought me tremendous amounts of joy with your delirious guffaws. Maia, you are my best buddy and have been a constant reminder to live without regret, to love openly and to enjoy every last thing on this planet. And finally, Mari. Without you there'd be no dissertation, there'd be no family and so therefore there'd be no me as I am today. We finally did it. Together.